SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, APRIL 22, 2013 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S192513 C064982 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. McCULLOUGH (ANTOINE J.)

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

We affirm the judgment of the Court of Appeal.

Majority Opinion by Chin, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S200612 F061562 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. NUCKLES (JANE)

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

We affirm the judgment of the Court of Appeal.

Majority Opinion by Corrigan, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, and Liu, JJ.

S090602

PEOPLE v. PEOPLES (LOUIS JAMES)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Phillip H. Cherney's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by September 30, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 21, 2013. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 100 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S092615

PEOPLE v. DEEN (OMAR RICHARD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Harry Gruber's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by mid-September 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 24, 2013. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S150524

PEOPLE v. ARGUETA (CARLOS MARVIN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Nina Wilder's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by December 13, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 21, 2013. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days will be granted. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S167166

ROMERO (GERARDO) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Federal Public Defender Emily J. Groendyke's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by August 16, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to June 21, 2013. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S189373

PEOPLE v. WILSON (LESTER HARLAND)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 21, 2013.

S204804

PEABODY (SUSAN J.) v. TIME WARNER CABLE, INC.

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief is extended to May 2, 2013.

S207066

ALLEN (RODZINSKI) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to May 22, 2013.

S203561 A129436 First Appellate District, Div. 4

GREEN (JULIE R. & TIMOTHY P.), MARRIAGE OF

Request for judicial notice denied

The requests for judicial notice, filed on October 24, 2012, and December 11, 2012, are denied.

S200321

RAYNSFORD ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that RICK L. RAYNSFORD, State Bar Number 105157, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. RICK L. RAYNSFORD is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
- 2. RICK L. RAYNSFORD must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on November 28, 2012; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if RICK L. RAYNSFORD has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

RICK L. RAYNSFORD must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2014 and 2015. If RICK L. RAYNSFORD fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S208528

SERIAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that ROBERT BRUCE SERIAN, State Bar Number 96170, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

ROBERT BRUCE SERIAN must make restitution to Weltman, Weinberg & Reis Co., L.P.A. in the amount of \$13,590 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 22, 2009. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

ROBERT BRUCE SERIAN must also pay to the Madera County Superior Court the \$300 in sanction and \$240 in outstanding fees as ordered in *Discover Bank v. Christopher Lovelace, et al.*,

case No. SCV007293.

ROBERT BRUCE SERIAN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S208530

WILLIAMS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that STEPHAN C. WILLIAMS, State Bar Number 37755, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. STEPHAN C. WILLIAMS is suspended from the practice of law for the first year of probation;
- 2. STEPHAN C. WILLIAMS must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 18, 2012; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if STEPHAN C. WILLIAMS has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

STEPHAN C. WILLIAMS must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S208540

WOGHIREN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that ANTONIA ITUEN WOGHIREN, State Bar Number 208483, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. ANTONIA ITUEN WOGHIREN must make restitution to Bob Reed in the amount of \$1,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from November 16, 2010. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

ANTONIA ITUEN WOGHIREN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S208544

ZUCKERMAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that STEPHEN DOUGLAS ZUCKERMAN, State Bar Number 47329, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. STEPHEN DOUGLAS ZUCKERMAN is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
- 2. STEPHEN DOUGLAS ZUCKERMAN n must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 6, 2012; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if STEPHEN DOUGLAS ZUCKERMAN has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

STEPHEN DOUGLAS ZUCKERMAN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2014 and 2015. If STEPHEN DOUGLAS ZUCKERMAN fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S208637

RHEE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JOHN WONGOO RHEE, State Bar Number 114109, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

JOHN WONGOO RHEE must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

JOHN WONGOO RHEE must also pay court ordered sanctions, totaling \$8,147.20, to J. Scott Souders.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S208641

TUCKER ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ALEXANDER WARREN TUCKER, State Bar Number 202794, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ALEXANDER WARREN TUCKER is suspended from the practice of law for the first four months of probation;
- 2. ALEXANDER WARREN TUCKER r must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on November 1, 2012; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ALEXANDER WARREN TUCKER has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ALEXANDER WARREN TUCKER must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) ALEXANDER WARREN TUCKER must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S208643

VASQUEZ ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that LUIS ESTEBAN VASQUEZ, State Bar Number 162798, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. LUIS ESTEBAN VASQUEZ is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
- 2. LUIS ESTEBAN VASQUEZ must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 21, 2012; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if LUIS ESTEBAN VASQUEZ has complied

with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

LUIS ESTEBAN VASQUEZ must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

LUIS ESTEBAN VASQUEZ must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S208645

WESEMAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JAMES CHESTER WESEMAN, State Bar Number 106684, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. JAMES CHESTER WESEMAN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.